

PO Box 219 Lake Forest, CA 92609-0219 949-206-0600 www.abortionNO.org / info@cbrinfo.org

Gregg L. Cunningham, Executive Director

May 2012

Dear Pro-Life Supporter,

Follow CBR on Twitter, @AbortionNo; and Gregg Cunningham on a broader range of Twitter topics, @CunninghamBlog.

On April 19, 2012, a young woman from Overland Park, KS, wrote us a dramatic message which began with the admission that she had been pro-abortion prior to seeing our abortion photos. She explained that she found us through a Google search and explained that "... at twenty-five I am pregnant again. It's a horrible situation and I can't afford the baby. I know I can't handle adoption after nine months of bonding, so after much thought and many tears, I actually made myself an appointment for an abortion. Even though I haven't actually gone yet, my heart completely broke just by making the appointment." Then, to our immense relief, she added that "Now, after this site, I'm not going, no matter how difficult this makes my life. I have been through a single mom/single pregnancy experience before and I will do it again for the sake of this child. This child deserves life just as much as my 1st child did when I was pregnant with him almost 8 years ago. Thank you so much." And we thank God for moving you to help us show her the truth.

My wife Lois immediately placed this young mother in contact with the Kansas City crisis pregnancy medical clinic where our Missouri regional director, Dr. Bill Calvin, serves as President of the board. Thank Heaven for Google (in whose search findings CBR continues to rise) but we are also grateful for opportunities to communicate our message through more traditional media.

Please visit the sidebar on the homepage at abortionNO.org and click on the "Archives" link to forward a digital copy of this letter to friends and family. We need help to expose abortion industry corruption.

And regarding traditional media, on April 27, 2012, a forty-nine-year-old woman from the United Kingdom emailed us to say that she had heard my interview with British Today program's John Humphrys, the legendary BBC Radio 4 newsman in London, and had been inspired to view our website. She was only one of many new visitors and she said that her perception of abortion was influenced by what she called our "highly distressing pictures." Our U.K. associate, Andrew Stephenson, appeared with me on the program as I essentially debated British Pregnancy Advisory Service (BPAS) director Ann Furedi, arguably England's best known abortionist. I say "essentially" because the evidence suggests that Ms. Furedi declined to engage me face to face and would only agree to submit a previously taped statement. After the program, when she was certain I was safely out of the studio, she phoned in a thoroughly dishonest reply to my remarks. The producers predictably broadcast her lies with no opportunity for me to rebut her.

Andrew and I had also been scheduled to actually debate someone else from BPAS two hours later on the BBC Live 5 program, but Ms. Furedi apparently pulled the plug on that confrontation after listening to us on the earlier Today program. She seems to have concluded that it would have been unwise to grant me any opportunity to directly question BPAS personnel during a live broadcast. That was a prudent decision. We were well prepared to refute her fraudulent claims and she knew it.

As noted in a recent newsletter, Ms. Furedi was particularly offended when I told John Humphrys that abortion is genocide and compared BPAS to the "Thousand-Year Reich." Mr. Humphrys was also scandalized by this analogy (he condemned it as "repugnant") but I wasn't merely speaking figuratively. Ann Furedi helped validate this parallel when she spoke at a 2008 forum which was part of the Battle of Ideas Festival in London. At http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gLJK88QObrI, at about 6 minutes down the timeline, she said, "We can accept that the embryo is a living thing in the fact that it has a beating heart, that it has its own genetic system within it. It's clearly human in the sense that it's not a gerbil and we can recognize that it is human life -- but the point is not when does life begin, but when does it ... begin to matter." Ms. Furedi's dark contention that the powerful are entitled to decide when the lives of the powerless "matter" is the very essence of German National Socialism in the 1930s and '40s.

In his forward to Eric Metaxas' biography of Dietrich Bonhoeffer, published by Thomas Nelson (2010), Timothy Keller refers to "...[T]he shocking capitulation of the German church to Hitler in the 1930s." Metaxas describes the Waffen SS "... T-4 euthanasia program in which scores of thousands of persons with mental and physical disabilities were removed from hospitals and [centers for the disabled] and murdered." The Reich "... performed innumerable forced abortions on women deemed 'genetically inferior,' 'racially deficient' (Jewish), or mentally or physically disabled. Abortions were legal except in cases of 'healthy Aryan' fetuses." Metaxas also describes "... unspeakably sadistic 'medical experiments' carried out on concentration camp inmates." Sounds like today's horrific embryonic stem cell experiments.

The sick and disabled, however, were merely sidelines for Heinrich Himmler. Exterminating healthy Jews was his real specialty. He, like Ann Furedi, presumed to decide whose lives "mattered" and whose lives mattered not at all.

Please designate CBR as a beneficiary of your will or trust. The abortion industry receives hundreds of millions of dollars from the estates of their supporters, but we receive virtually no help from estate planning.

Ms. Furedi's breath-taking arrogance also recalls the perversity of German genocide as described in a book titled *Justice Matters: Legacies of the Holocaust and World War II*, written by Mona Weissmark, and published by Oxford University Press (2004). The author says of the Shoah (Hebrew for Holocaust), "There are ways a wrongdoer has of saying to us, 'I am superior to you,' or 'I have the right to decide who should and who should not inhabit the world,' or 'I count and you do not.'"

This video depiction of Ms. Furedi's casual demeanor when discussing genocide is a study in the banality of <u>evil</u>. Her manner suggests indifference rather than hatred toward preborn children. That is precisely the paradox posited in a biographical essay on Heinrich Himmler, the Waffen SS architect of the Final Solution, at HolocaustResearchProject.org. The article asserts that <u>despite being "… a man who has been demonized</u> as the incarnation of evil, Himmler makes it clear in several speeches that he was not particularly anti-Semitic. He simply blindly obeyed, displaying almost more amorality than immorality."

A *New York Times* article, appearing in the August 13, 1999 *Orange County Register* (CA), reported the publication of the memoirs of Adolph Eichmann, the SS official who oversaw the deportation and murder of millions of Jews during World War II. The article's sub-headline reads "The Nazi who led Germany's genocide against Jews contended obedience, not hate, guided him." Himmler and Eichmann blandly claimed a sort of ambivalence toward the victims of their mass murders. Nothing personal. Strictly business.

And Ms. Furedi has an equally evil counterpart in the United States. WashingtonPost.com featured a story May 10, 2012 headlined "Exclusive: NARAL President Nancy Keenan to step down." Ms. Keenan says she is quitting to make room for a younger leader. She is alarmed by <u>surveys which indicate that "Young voters do not make abortion rights a priority at the polls. In 2010, the group's poll of 700 young Americans</u>

showed a stark 'intensity gap' on abortion. Most anti-abortion voters under 30 (51 percent) considered it a 'very important' voting issue. Among abortion-rights millennials, that number stood at 26 percent." I would argue that a significant element of the "intensity gap" between our millennials and theirs derives from the fact that CBR and our spinoff organizations have spent the last 13 years showing millions of students what the euphemism "reproductive choice" actually looks like. Now they get the picture and they don't like what they see. We are starting to do the same thing internationally and the results will be equally transformational. We are prosecuting a long-term campaign to make this terrible truth unavoidable for the students who are our country's future leaders. But practitioners of genocide labor to hide hideous truth.

Please consider donating to a fund CBR is establishing to provide small seed money grants to our new affiliates in Africa and Europe. In many of these countries even small donations can produce big results.

The biography titled *Bonhoeffer*, by Eric Metaxas (Thomas Nelson, 2010), makes this point by revealing that Nazi Dr. Sigmund Rascher admitted he "planned and supervised the construction of the [Buchenwald death camp] gas chambers and was responsible for the use of prisoners as guinea pigs in medical research" Rascher asserted that [SS chief] Himmler was "a very kind-hearted man [who] was most anxious that prisoners should be exterminated in a manner which caused them least anxiety and suffering, and the greatest trouble was taken to design a death chamber so camouflaged [as inviting showers] that its purpose would not be apparent, and to regulate the flow of lethal gas so that the patients might fall asleep without recognizing that they would never wake." Holocaust-.tumblr.com reports that doomed inmates were given towels and bars of soap to enhance this illusion.

Mass murderers often camouflage the apparatus of their extermination activities. A contemporary case in point is a May 2008 abortion facilities study by the Universities of Southampton and Kent, titled "Evaluation of Early Medical Abortion (EMA) Pilot Sites," is posted on the British Pregnancy Advisory Service (BPAS) website at

http://www.bpas.org/js/filemanager/files/evaluation_of_early_medical_abortion_pilot_sites.pdf. The BPAS clinics perform one-fourth of Britain's abortions, and these authors interviewed aborting mothers to determine their emotional reactions to abortion facilities of various types. One believed that "... community-based clinics feel more homely and made women feel safer and less afraid" Another mother also preferred local clinics to hospitals for reasons related to "... peace of mind" and that they were "... more relaxed and less formal ... less serious ... a more pleasant environment." She added that "... the feeling of guilt is reduced as it is not in a ... hospital setting" Yet another wanted someplace "... where women who do have an unwanted pregnancy can go and be cared for and reassured and to cause as little upset to their lives as possible." These women are in massive denial about killing their children and they deeply fear any reality which might complicate their ability to rationalize their "choice." So their abortionists do all in their power to obscure those realities.

Some BPAS staff members quoted in the aforementioned facilities study believed medical abortions would not be suitable for performance by general practice physicians because "... some women undergoing the procedure make a fair amount of noise due to pain and cramping ...," apparently fearing distress for physicians and their non-abortion patients who might be in hearing distance of abortion patients who cry out. Other staff members were concerned "... about the lack of anonymity provided to clients and staff should terminations be carried out in a small, community-based unit where the [abortion] service is less easily absorbed [or hidden] into other aspects of a larger [hospital] service. This was felt to be of particular concern should the [abortion] service come to the attention of pro-life campaigners [such as our U.K. affiliate Abort67 at the BPAS Wistons Clinic]."

The need to camouflage the disturbing sounds of surgical abortions (gasping screams and the buzz of vacuum pumps) can be compelling as well. Late-term abortionist Warren Hern, MD, in his widely read teaching text *Abortion Practice* (Lippincott,1990), warns that "... the waiting room should not be located."

next to the procedure room: Waiting patients should not be subjected to the sounds of the operating room. If physical layout requires such an arrangement, the dividing wall should be insulated to make it soundproof." Hern would know. He has compelled countless mothers to scream bloody murder.

Spiked-Online.com, March 8, 2001, published a related article co-authored by Ann Furedi titled "The case for 'late' abortion" which demanded that we not be judgmental regarding women's flimsy reasons for aborting. She is a moral relativist who said "... women that request abortions later on in pregnancy do so because they have specific circumstances that drive them to conclude that it is better if their pregnancy does not result in a child." But all mothers, no matter how late their pregnancies, had a child the moment they conceived. Ms. Furedi seems confused on this point. She says in the same article that "... babies born as early as 22 weeks' gestation, two weeks earlier than the legal time limit for most abortions, can be kept alive." She calls the subjects of 22-week premature deliveries "babies" but she depersonalizes the subjects of 24-week elective abortions as "fetuses."

CBR's abortionNO.org web traffic is approaching one million annual visitors (largely students) and we are upgrading our old server computers and related software. Please help us raise the roughly \$20,000 required.

The principal logical flaw in her argument, however, is that if a mother's 24-week pregnancy is terminated by simply inducing labor, without first killing the baby in utero, that mother's "circumstances" are no longer relevant to her pregnancy because she is no longer pregnant, and no longer relevant to her baby, who can now be placed in foster care to await adoption by parents whose "circumstances" are more conducive to family life. But Ann Furedi doesn't merely want to end pregnancies. She also wants to kill babies. She admits this when she acknowledges that concerns of the woman (who wants her baby killed in the process of ending her pregnancy) is paramount. "In all abortion, but perhaps especially in the case of late abortion, ensuring clinical practice takes steps to reduce the concerns of the woman is paramount. It is for this reason that in the U.K., the RCOG [Royal College Of Gynecologists] recommends that measures to stop the fetal heart [by injecting poison into the baby's heart to induce cardiac arrest] should be taken in all terminations after 21 weeks' gestation. This is to ensure that there is no possibility of the abortion resulting in a live birth."

If, however, a given pregnancy could result in a live birth by inducing labor instead of killing the baby, why kill the baby? And people who have been shown what little difference there is among aborted babies at six weeks or sixteen weeks or twenty-six weeks, tend to ask "Why kill any baby?" Ann Furedi knows this and that is why she is terrified of our photos. She is a soulless automaton who kills with neither hesitation nor remorse, but knows that most people do have a functioning conscience and that they will reconsider their position if we change their understanding of the facts. With your help, that is precisely what we are doing. And we are doing it with huge abortion photos on sidewalks in front of abortion clinics, and the businesses of Planned Parenthood's corporate donors, and on college campuses, and in front of churches. Thank you for helping us do this stressful work in stressful times.

Lord bless,

Gregg Cunningham Executive Director