

Gregg L. Cunningham, Executive Director

June 2010

Dear Pro-Life Supporter,

On June 6, 2010, we received a message from a 17-year-old boy who lives in Liverpool, UK, who had just seen our abortion photos and said: "... I am studying Ethics at A Level. I was researching Pro-Choice, Pro-Life campaigns and I came across this. I have never ever been told, or shown abortion was carried out in such a foul and brutal way. I am disgusted to be honest, with the extreme injustice of the murder that occurs every day. I am also extremely disgusted in society for the sheer lack of education for young people about abortion." CBR exists to do the educational work politically biased educators refuse to do concerning abortion. But this sort of dishonesty is spreading. Science is now as corrupt as education.

FoxNews.com, Jan. 28, 2010, ran a story about deceptive "science" which was headlined "Scientists in Climate-Gate Scandal Hid Data." *The Wall Street Journal* reported a related article on Feb. 16, 2010, titled "Consensus or Con?" The story cites a London *Sunday Times* story which says that much of the supposed global warming increase "was actually caused by local factors affecting the weather stations, such as land development." Data are being manipulated to support a leftist political agenda. *The Journal* adds that:

BBC carries an extraordinary interview with Phil Jones, director of the Climatic Research Unit at the Univ. of East Anglia and the central Climategate figure. ... Jones admits that the periods 1860-80 and 1910-40 saw global warming on a similar scale to the 1975-98 period, that there has been no significant warming since 1995, and that the so-called Medieval Warm Period calls into question whether the currently observed warming is unprecedented.

This is as bad as scientists fraudulently overselling embryonic stem cell research. But scientists are also distorting the facts to support their pro-abortion political objectives. The *Los Angeles Times*, Jan. 22, 2010, featured a story headlined "U.S. newborns are weighing less, study finds," with a sub-headline which says "Average birth weights have dropped slightly from 1990 to 2005. Researchers are unclear why." Are they really "unclear?" Perhaps they missed the Time.com story dated Dec. 18, 2007, which was headlined "Study Links Abortion and Premies." It begins: "Abortions increase the risk of low birth weight in future pregnancies by a factor of three, and of premature birth by a factor of two, according to the largest U.S. study of its kind. The "scientists" who claim to be "unclear" are little more than crooks in lab coats.

The dishonesty of medical science, however, is boundless when abortion is at issue. LifeSiteNews.com, Jan. 7, 2010, "National Cancer Institute Researcher Admits Abortion Breast Cancer Link:"

U.S. National Cancer Institute researcher Dr. Louise Brinton, who was the chief organizer of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) workshop in 2003 that ... [asserted] it was 'well established' that 'abortion is not associated with increased breast cancer risk,' has reversed her position and now admits that abortion and oral contraceptives raise breast cancer risks.

An April 2009 study by Jessica Dolle, et al. of the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center examining the relationship between oral contraceptives (OCs) and triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), an aggressive form of breast cancer associated with high mortality, in

women under age 45, contained an admission from Dr. Brinton and her colleagues that abortion raises breast cancer risk by 40%.

Hiding these politically inconvenient facts is misogyny of the worst sort. Abortion doesn't merely kill babies: It hurts women and their subsequent children. Those facts are being covered-up by political elites who lie to women in an attempt to deceive and exploit them. How is this less barbaric than abuses visited on women in more primitive cultures? The book *Olives*, Rogers, Ten Speed Press (1995) says "When Solon enacted laws protecting olive trees in Greece in the sixth century, making it a capital offense to kill one or cut it down, they became more protected than slaves (and even women and children in most places)." In many parts of today's world, women and children are still denied meaningful protection from abuse.

Mail Online, (London's *Daily Mail* newspaper) April 11, 2010, posted a feature story headlined "Gendercide: China's shameful massacre of unborn girls means there will soon be 30 million more men than women." Some tragic vignettes: "In the cruel old China, baby girls were often left to die in the gutters. In the cruel modern China, they are aborted by the tens of millions, using all the latest technology." The article then asserts that "All kinds of speculation is now seething about what might happen; a war to cull the surplus males, a rise in crime, a huge expansion in the prostitution that is already a major industry in every Chinese city, a rise in homosexuality."

The reporter adds that gender-selection abortions "... will cause 'elements of instability' and hinder economic growth ... the disintegration of families, high divorce rates, 'sex offenses,' and distortion of the birth rate." As though that were not horrifying enough, next we read that "It is also stimulating a miserable trade in stolen children." Why? "Boys are kidnapped by families who want a male heir and do not care where they get him. Girls are taken to be brought up as child brides for cherished, spoiled boys, who will not have to worry about the increasing shortage of girls." Chinese law enforcement is too focused on silencing dissidents to spend time protecting children. "... China's criminal gangs are powerful and the police often weak and sometimes corrupt. Clans and whole villages can and do combine to shield child-thieves from the law because of the ancient prejudices in favor of continuing the male line."

Aborting girls in China merely because they are girls is illegal but culturally unstoppable any time soon. Not that the government opposes abortion. In fact the *Mail* story confirms that "The authorities, who have no moral objection to abortion itself, have been known to force women to have abortions in their ninth month of pregnancy to keep to the one-child policy." But the "one-child policy" made gender selection inevitable when girls are considered a burden and boys a benefit.

The government is now trying to force the gendercide genie back into its benighted bottle with embarrassing propaganda slogans such as "Boys and girls are both treasures." But the article quotes "... a farmer ... who ... muttered ... 'That's all very well, but they're not the same really, and you want to be sure what it is before you have it, if you have only one child.'" The reporter's translator asked an "... abortionist if he ever aborted boys. He gaped. 'Are you mad?' he almost shouted, 'Nobody aborts boys unless they are deformed. Girls are what we abort.'" My wife and I have adopted three little girls from Chinese orphanages after they were abandoned because of gender and birth "defects." We know some CBR supporters share our joy of being adoptive parents; we pray that more people will consider adoption, either by adopting a child into your family or by adopting the cause of the preborn babies CBR works to protect.

The translator in the Gendercide article explains: "When I was a little girl my grandparents doted on me and gave me generous presents. I was their first and only grandchild. But when my aunt had a son, it all stopped. The presents got much smaller and all the fuss died away. My male cousin got all the attention. There was no pretense about it. They would have much preferred a boy and now they had one." She adds: "They said to me 'you are only a girl. You are spilt water.'" The story says: "This cold, dismissive expression is universally used about unwanted daughters—and to their faces."

This attitude kills girls and in the process creates social imbalances which invite the abuse of women. Breitbart.com carried an AFP story May 13, 2010, headlined “N. Korean women up for sale in China: Activist.” It reported that “Young female refugees from North Korea are increasingly becoming a commodity in China, where they are sold to farmers for up to 1,500 dollars a head, according to a Seoul campaigner.” Women make up 80 percent of the “tens of thousands of North Koreans hiding in China.”

Because these women are afraid of being sent back to North Korea, immigrant rights activists say the “women are forced to live like animals.” They add that “If the customer does not like his wife, he can resell her and add about 2,000 yuan to the original price. Some women are sold seven or eight times....” Many also end up on “internet sex shows....” Even their children are victimized. “The Chinese government does not recognize children whose mother is not registered. If the mother runs away or is taken back to North Korea, the children are left with nothing—no nationality, no parents and no identity.”

Is there any place where women and girls are *not* being objectified and victimized today? The *Los Angeles Times* reported a May 4, 2010 story headlined “Tall girls, more cattle,” with a sub-headline which read “A Sudanese tribal chief explains one of the realities of his village, where daughters are still traded for longhorns.” The story says “‘Tall girls fetch more cattle because their daughters will quickly grow and can be married off to fetch even more cattle,’ said the chief ‘A tall girl can command 60 to 100 cattle from a suitor. A short girl may get 20 head, and, sometimes, short girls overstay their welcome in the father’s home and end up fetching only five cattle. By then, a tall girl has already borne five children.’” When asked what women thought about becoming a commodity, “The chief bit his lip ... ‘Women have no say,’ he said.”

Then CNN.com reported a story headlined “Taliban suspected of sickening female Afghan students,” April 25, 2010. “At least 88 girls and teachers became ill in separate cases at three girls’ schools.” The article quoted authorities who suspect the use of “poison gas” to punish girls who seek education.

AmericanThinker.com, Dec. 5, 2007, posted a column titled “Death Before Berkas.” The writer reported that “In 2002, again in Saudi Arabia, a mob of very ‘religious’ followers of the Prophet surrounded a girls’ school that was engulfed in raging flames, and refused to permit firefighters to save the young girls, or even to permit the ones that could to flee the building.” Their crime was that “They had removed their head-to-toe coverings because they were schooled among only females. Because of their *uncovered state*, they were sentenced by the men to death by burning.”

The *Los Angeles Times*, April 13, 2010, reported a story titled “Russia’s trail of broken women,” describes the widows of Muslim separatist’s to whom men strap explosives and send them off to blow themselves up in suicide bombings. The article says “... this is ... a landscape of damaged women, grieving losses they dare not dwell upon.”

What is the Obama Administration doing to protect women globally? Besides funding abortions which abuse them further, FOXNesw.com reported a story headlined “U.S. Mum During Iran Vote to U.N. Women’s Commission,” April 30, 2010 which Republican criticism of Mr. Obama’s failure to block Iran’s election to the Women’s Commission: “Rep. Dana Rohrabacher(R-CA) the ranking member on the House Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on International Organizations, Human Right and Oversight, blasted the silence of the U.S. to Iran’s selection, saying it is the U.S official position ‘to be pleasant with gangsters.’” Rep. Ileana Ros-Lehtinen (R-FL) added “That an Iranian regime that shoots and stones women would be ‘elected’ to a U.N. body supposedly dedicated to women’s rights adds a whole new disgusting twist to the ongoing saga of Iran exploiting the U.N.’” A high-ranking State Department official said the appointment “isn’t as bad as it appears” because “... women in Iran, relative to other countries in the region,

actually have greater rights.” Mr. Obama’s administration seems determined to oppress women – born and preborn.

But there is good news for women. CBR is protecting women and girls and babies all over the nation and world. Here is a note we received from the father of a young daughter on Feb. 11, 2010: “I encountered your GAP display at the March for Life in Washington, D.C. in January as I walked with my daughter. Her stunned silence and her insistence that we stop to carefully review the display was a powerful moment and demonstrated to me the enormous potential of your project.”

We educated thousands of youth at the March for Life. This email arrived on January 28, 2010:

I want to give your organization some feedback from your display in Washington, DC during the 2010 March for Life. I attended the event with a group of Catholic high school students from South Carolina. During the march, the children were talking among themselves and generally having a good time until they walked past your display. When they approached your display, a wave of silence and sadness took over the group, for there was the graphic and stark result of abortion. Many of the children had never seen what an abortion really does. While your display was harsh, it was an effective witness to the slaughter

As I told your speaker on the sidewalk, I will tell you now. You are awesome! Your actions are bringing the truth and shining the light on the abortionists and their deception. Afterwards, we spoke with the children about what they had experienced during the march and your display was one of the most discussed topics. Your display reinforced the fact that abortion kills. It is not just a ‘blob of cells’ that the abortionist tears apart. It is a child.

We are teaching countless students what their teachers, parents and even most pro-life organizations hide from them: the real horror of abortion. We save lives all over the world. Thanks for making it possible. *The Chronicle of Philanthropy* published a December 12, 2009 story (“2010: Daunting Challenges Face the Nonprofit World”) which reported: “The nonprofit world is about to face the toughest year in its history. By every measure, 2010 could be far more painful for charities and the people they serve than any other they have known.” Babies are the “people” we serve and we need your help to save them – now more than ever.

Lord bless,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Gregg Cunningham', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Gregg Cunningham
Executive Director

P.S. On May 16, 2010, a sixteen-year-old girl from Spartanburg, SC wrote us to say “Today, I saw a news article about it and decided to Google it. This is the first time I’ve seen actual pictures. Abortion is so, so wrong.” She had read about abortion but didn’t really understand it until she saw it. Thanks for helping us show her a truth which may steer her away from a terrible mistake.