

Gregg L. Cunningham, Executive Director

August 2013

Dear Pro-Life Supporter,

We recently received a message from a forty-four-year-old Dallas woman who wrote to say our website abortion photos and videos “strengthened my pro-life values and energized my passion to educate people.” Sometimes it takes a blast of harsh reality to shock people out of their complacency. As a practical matter, even the church subtly and tacitly promotes the lie that abortion is evil, but perhaps irreversible; so getting used to it may be the only sensible option for Christians. All social reform movements, however, seemed hopeless to sensible people throughout most of the durations of those campaigns. The reform efforts ultimately succeeded because a handful of determined activists doggedly refused to accept the lie that their struggle was futile.

The news has been filled lately with stories about another sort of lie: the President’s intentional successful effort to pass Obamacare through Congress by knowingly misleading the American people as to whether the new law would allow them to keep their current healthcare insurance, doctors and premiums. Huge numbers of somnolent citizens who slept their way through Mr. Obama’s scandals involving Solindra, Benghazi, the IRS, Fast & Furious, etc., etc., are now being gob-smacked at a much more personal level by cancellation letters from their health insurers and rate shock when they learn what it will cost them to buy a new policy with coverage they neither want or need, for treatment by new doctors they may not much like.

Dr. Barry Corey, the president of Biola University, recently promised to revoke unreasonable restrictions on the public display of baby-saving abortion photos on campus. Will his word provide to be more reliable than that of Mr. Obama? Here is part two of CBR’s response to his recent apology for mistreating the last student who showed her classmates the true horror of abortion – and please know that our attempt to publish this letter in the university newspaper as a paid ad has been rejected. So much for an intellectually honest and open discussion of these issues with Biola.

[View CBR’s presentation of abortion video in a large church service \(www.youtube.com/watch?v=wxt5sX-tKvs\)](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wxt5sX-tKvs). Send the link to your pastor and urge him to watch and invite Gregg Cunningham to speak.

Open letter part 2: Our skepticism concerning Biola’s sincerity was only heightened when Irene Neller, Biola’s vice president for public relations, recently organized a large group of Biola demonstrators for the purpose of disrupting a CBR abortion photo display on the public sidewalks at the entrances to campus. We were conducting an informational picket during “move-in” weekend at the start of the fall 2013 semester. Under Ms. Neller’s direction, Biola’s demonstrators positioned their own large signs nearly against and directly in front of CBR’s signs in an obvious attempt to prevent our abortion photos from being viewed by arriving students. We have video which documents this juvenile interference with our exhibition. CBR was forced to call the police and responding officers quickly acted on our complaint, moving Biola’s demonstrators away from CBR’s signs. If Biola can’t tolerate abortion photos on the public sidewalk *outside* its campus, why should we believe the school’s promises to permit abortion photos to be meaningfully displayed *inside* its campus?

Heightening our misgivings was a disturbing article posted by Barry Corey on September 4, 2013, at “Corey’s Corner” in the *Chimes* campus newspaper. He referred to the foregoing picketing incident but conveniently omitted any mention of his staff’s attempts to block our signs. He instead claimed that “We graciously extended hospitality and treated ... [CBR sign-holders] as our guests.” He apparently means that violating our expressive rights is somehow less reprehensible if Biola offers warm greetings while covering up our signs. To some this may seem a trifling matter, but this sort of duplicity is endemic at Biola. Ms. Jimenez felt deeply betrayed by the frequency with which administrators smiled and spoke reassuringly while they conspired to mistreat her. She called this treacherous pattern “the Judas kiss,” and it clearly hasn’t gone away.

In the same *Chimes* post, Barry Corey said he found it “strange” that CBR would “protest” at a school which “believes in the sanctity of human life.” We find it stranger still that Dr. Corey doesn’t care more about saving the babies whose mothers will kill them if these women aren’t shown that portion of abortion’s evil which cannot be expressed in words. Abortion is invisible at Biola. It is a secret sin whose darkness is occasionally mentioned but seldom shown. Biola’s “beliefs” may be “pro-life” but policies which help abortionists deceive students are not.

The result is moral confusion in which many students accept the contention that abortion is evil but mistakenly assume that it is a nominal evil, perhaps merely the lesser of two evils. This leads to abortions which might otherwise have been prevented. These butchered babies aren’t real to Dr. Corey. We intend to make them real.

As an aside, CBR does not “protest” abortion. We expose abortion. We are exposing abortion at Biola because Biola has been helping Planned Parenthood hide the magnitude of the evil abortion represents. We come to Biola as friends. Were it our intention to protest, we could flood the sidewalks with hundreds of demonstrators from all over the country. Dr. Corey attempts to trivialize our presence by dismissively referring to the small size of our picketing team, as though we don’t need to be taken seriously because we are not present in full force. We pray it doesn’t come to that, but rest assured that we are capable of bringing a far more substantial presence to Biola’s sidewalks if this conflict escalates.

Visit the sidebar on the homepage at abortionNO.org and click on “Archives” to forward a digital copy of this letter to friends and family. Please help us replace donors we have lost to this wretched recession!

It must also have seemed “strange” to religious leaders when God, in Jeremiah 7:1-7, commanded his prophet to “Stand in the gate of the Lord’s house ...” (verse 2) for the purpose of warning the Israelites against the sin of “shedding innocent blood.” God’s people were committing and permitting child sacrifice (verse 6) and God’s people are still sacrificing their children -- even at Biola. Anyone who naively doubts that abortion is child sacrifice would be well advised to read *The Sacrament of Abortion* by pagan author Ginette Paris (Spring Publications, 1992), available on Amazon. In fact, the Guttmacher Institute reports that one out of every five abortions is performed on a woman identifying herself as a born-again or evangelical Christian. In some years, that would mean a quarter-million evangelical abortions. It is not enough to merely express opinions and state conclusions about abortion. Is Biola taking child sacrifice as seriously as God takes child sacrifice?

Some women can be dissuaded from aborting by merely hearing or reading facts and arguments. Others can be converted by merely seeing prenatal development imagery. But many more in this highly visual culture must see abortion to reject abortion. As noted above, Christ-followers are not only prohibited from shedding innocent blood (Mark 10:17-22), they are commanded to intervene on behalf of those whose innocent blood is being shed (Luke 10:30-37). Intervention by every means but the one means which could save a particular life is tragically inadequate. Inadequate intervention violates the spirit of James 2:15-16, which asks, “Suppose a brother or sister is without clothes and daily food. If one of you says to him, ‘Go, I

Page 2 www.abortionNO.org/donate

wish you well; keep warm and well fed,' but does nothing about his physical needs, what good is it?" Biola isn't doing "nothing," but it is refusing to do the one thing which really matters for women who will not carry to term unless they are shown the terrible fate to which abortion will condemn their children. Biola has utterly forsaken these women -- and their children.

Please designate CBR as a beneficiary of your will or trust. The abortion industry receives hundreds of millions of dollars from the estates of their supporters, but we receive very little help from estate planning.

Dr. Corey's "apology" letter is quintessentially Clintonesque: Mistakes were made, apologies were issued, lessons were learned, reforms will (perhaps) be forthcoming, and now let's all just move on. Indeed, five prominent pro-life advocates have taken the bait and hailed Dr. Corey's "apology" letter as a noble *mea culpa* which should lay the entire controversy to rest. They want CBR to take "yes" for an answer and return to business as usual. With all due respect, our friends' cease-fire proposals are wildly premature. We would like nothing better than for Dr. Corey's "yes" to actually mean "yes," but slippery promises won't save babies. In their zeal to exonerate and rehabilitate Dr. Corey, these well-meaning pro-life friends (whom we admire and with whom we have collaborated on many important projects) are apparently unaware that we may have seen this movie before.

Martin Luther King allowed himself to be lured into a humiliating betrayal when he naively suspended his civil rights demonstrations in Birmingham, Alabama, in 1963, on the strength of meaningless promises from white city leaders who had no intention of honoring their commitments to treat blacks fairly.

Dr. King was under immense pressure from his appeasement-inclined associates to back off and stand down at the first sign of "concessions" from Birmingham's power structure. In his "Letter From the Birmingham Jail" he had derided these capitulationists as ineffectual "civil rights moderates," but he eventually yielded to their compulsive desire to avoid conflict. They wanted him to end his marches and leave the city on the flimsiest "evidence" of progress -- little more than wishful thinking -- and wearied, he drank the Kool-Aid and declared victory where there was none. This was a colossal blunder he would bitterly regret. When Dr. King prematurely terminated his demonstrations, his activists drifted away. It was clear to his opponents that he would never regain his momentum and they eventually abrogated every supposed "commitment."

Had Dr. King kept the pressure on until white businesses established an irreversible pattern of hiring black employees and serving black customers, he might have secured victory. He instead suffered a self-inflicted defeat. Attempts to negotiate without the sustained application of force generally lead to an ignominious rout. Many pro-life advocates just want the tension with Biola to stop, and they are willing to accept even a fictitious happy ending in their rush to Kumbaya. CBR, however, is not an organization of pro-life advocates. We are anti-abortion activists. We do not intend to repeat Dr. King's mistake at Biola. Why?

Biola is still trying to trivialize its indefensible misconduct. In his *Chimes* post, Dr. Corey says of the Diana Jimenez outrage, "We had a moment on campus when a Biola student in good standing was asked to remove graphic depictions of aborted babies." That is shockingly untruthful. Ms. Jimenez wasn't merely "asked," she was repeatedly threatened with arrest, expulsion, and exclusion from her own graduation ceremony. And she didn't suffer "a moment" of abuse. Her mistreatment went on for weeks. He says "some missteps were made" as though this mistreatment was accidental instead of calculated. Five senior administrators repeatedly roughed up and defamed Ms. Jimenez. They are all still there! Biola's trustees should ask how Dr. Corey could have created such a toxic environment in which so many on his management team could behave in a harsh, legalistic and insensitive manner, and do so with relative impunity. They should also ask why nearly all of these thugs are still on the payroll.

Dr. Corey also has repeatedly complained that the damaging YouTube video was "selectively edited." An implied accusation that we misrepresented the events depicted in a video involving the abuse of a student is

a defamatory allegation. It amounts to a charge of fraud. We demand that he publicly state which element or elements of this incident would have made Ms. Jimenez's mistreatment seem justified had they not been omitted from the video.

Then Biola's president intimates disapproval of the public display of abortion photos by strangely comparing them to various forms of pornography. Pornography dehumanizes females. Abortion photos humanize preborn babies. Pornography exploits females. Abortion photos help stop the victimization of human embryos and fetuses. No one needs to see rape to understand the magnitude of the evil it represents. Many, however, underestimate abortion's evil if they haven't seen it.

He asks "at what point might images be deemed too graphic or distasteful?" Our abortion photos are "graphic and distasteful" precisely because abortion is graphic and distasteful. Restrict our displays to less disturbing photos and you will convey the mistaken impression that abortion is less evil than it really is. Censoring the most disturbing takes Biola right back into the school's long-running concealment partnership with the abortion industry. (The next issue of this letter will feature Part 3 of our Open Letter to Biola).

Please let us know if you will host a coffee and dessert for friends and family members who might be interested in supporting CBR. Gregg Cunningham is available to make a presentation at your home.

Because the pro-life movement can't adequately fund and staff effective, large-scale anti-abortion activism without the involvement of thousands of Christians who are serious about their faith, it is crucial that we continue to urge pastors to inspire and mobilize their congregations in defense of life. But our movement has tried to reach pastors for decades with little to show for our efforts. That is why we have designed our Church Project to display aborted baby photos on the sidewalks outside of churches whose pastors generally down-play the importance of anti-abortion activism and almost never show their congregations the evidence that abortion is truly child sacrifice of the sort against God rages in the Old Testament.

It is vital that we attempt to engage pastors and when we fail, to directly engage their congregations. But we must also apply pressure to the Bible colleges and seminaries which are producing pastors who refuse to lead on abortion and actively oppose the leadership efforts of others. These schools need to begin offering majors in full-time anti-abortion ministry. How better to counteract the secular universities whose women's studies programs churn out thousands of pro-abortion activists each year? And we must pressure these Christian schools to expand student expressive rights policies to permit the display of aborted baby photos on campus. Our Genocide Awareness Project has been extremely effectively on secular college campuses, but it is almost universally banned by Christian schools. Students who have never seen abortion are unlikely to be outraged by it. Without outrage, few will be inspired to pressure their schools to offer pro-life majors, much less enroll in them. These projects have enormous strategic importance. Please help us implement them.

Lord bless,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Gregg Cunningham', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Gregg Cunningham
Executive Director